QCD and Monte Carlo simulation II

H. Jung (DESY, University Antwerp) hannes.jung@desy.de

http://www.desy.de/~jung/qcd_and_mc_2015/

Hannes Jung, QCD and MC, Lecture 2, 13. Oct 2015

New tools - social reading

Social Reading project:

- you can read, comment and ask questions to this lecture and support material.
- like if you read together with your colleagues a book, and mark places, which you think are important or which are not understandable.
- your comments, questions and remarks will be visible to others, they can also reply
- your comments, questions and remarks will be answered and replied by your lecturer
- Please give feedback on this new tool !

A.nnotate Logged in as hannes,jung (150 credits)	Home Documen	ts Notes	Account	Help	Logout		find	
FSQ-12-005/FSQ-12-005-paper-v15-1 [], hannes jung, Wed Jul 16, 29 Pages						75% 💌	Note Share VDone	
1 Introduction 2 A significant fraction ($\approx 25\%$) of the total inelastic p 2 can be attributed to diffractive interactions, charact 4 exponentially suppressed large rapidity gap (LRG), 5 particles, where for a particle of polar angle θ , $\eta =$ 6 LRG is presumed to be mediated by a color-single 7 numbers, commonly referred to as Pomeron (<i>P</i>) ex 8 diffractive processes: single dissociation (SD), double 9 (CD).	roton-proton cros erized by the pres i.e. a region of ps- – In[tan(θ/2)]. In t exchange carryii change. Figure 1 le dissociation (DI	s section at ence of at l eudorapidi hadronic in g the vacu shows the D), and cent	high energ least one no ty η devoid nteractions rum quant main types ral diffracti	1 ies on- of an im of on			large rapidity is to approve the senter needs clarification, since the senter about pseudo-rapidity.	tygap ? Question nnce talks hannes.jung Fri Jul 18
 Inclusive diffractive cross sections cannot be calcula dynamics, and are described by models based on I models generally differ when extrapolated from c before the startup of the Large Hadron Collider (Li surements of diffractive cross sections at the LHC p diffraction and improving its modeling in event gen modeling of the final state of inclusive events, and underlying event, dominated by multiparton inter exchange contributions, and the total inelastic cross 	ated within pertur Regge theory [1]. enter-of-mass ene HC); to 7 TeV at ti rovide a valuable erators. They are a d can help impro actions with and, section.	bative quar The predic rgies of √s he LHC. Th input for u ilso crucial ve the sim or withou	atum chron tions of the $\overline{s} \leq 1.96$ T herefore, m inderstandi for the prop ulation of t t color-sing	no- ese ea- ing per the let			before the startup of the Large at the Tevatron	correction hannes.jung Fri Jul 18
The DD cross section has been recently measured tion [2], for events in which both dissociated masses of diffractive cross sections at the LHC, with higher precision [3] or no separation between SD and DD first measurement of inclusive diffractive cross section experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The measurement is basised event, with the SD- and DD-dominated event same	at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV b s are below ~ 12 G r dissociation mas D events [4]. In th ions at the <u>Compa</u> ed on the presence oles separated by t	y the TOTI eV. Other r ses, have ei is paper, w ct Muon Se of a forwa asing the C	EM collabo neasureme ither a limit re present plenoid (CM rd LRG in ASTOR cal	ra- nts ted the fS) the or-			Compact Muon Solenoid we no longer write out CMS	일 ×. correction, typo hannes.jung Fri Jul 18

You just need to register (for free) at a.nnotate: Link to a.nnotate the lecture

Literature



E File

Applications of Perturbative QCD

R. D. Field Department of Physics University of Florida

ADDISON-WESLEY PUBLISHING COMPANY The Advanced Book Program Redwood City, California • Menlo Park, California • Reading, Massachusetts New York • Don Mills, Ontario • Wokingham, United Kingdom • Amsterdam Bonn • Sydney • Singapore • Tokyo • Madrid • San Juan



Hannes Jung, QCD and MC, Lecture 2, 13. Oct 2015



PHYSICS REPORTS (Review Section of Physics Letters) 81, No. 1 (1982) 1-129. NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING COMPANY

PARTONS IN QUANTUM CHROMODYNAMICS

Guido Altarelli Intituto di Fisica, Unicersità di Roma, Intituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma, Inti Received 20 July 1981

3	5. Leptoproduction beyond the leading logari
5	approximation
10	6. The photon structure functions
	7. The Sudakov form factor of partons
11	8. Jets in leptoproduction and their transverse
15	9. e*e" annihilation
18	9.1. The total hadronic cross section
21	9.2. Scaling violations for fragmentation fu
	9.3. Annihilation into real photons
25	9.4. Jets
36	10. Heavy quarkonium decays
32	11. Drell-Yan processes
	3 5 10 11 15 18 21 25 26 32

9.3. Annihistion into real photons 9.4. Jets 10. Heavy quarkonium decays 11. Direll-Warp processes 12. Electro-weak form factors of hadrons 13. QCD effects in weak non leptonic amplitudes 14. Outlook

Abstaut: An overall view of the physics of QCD in the perturbative domain is presented in a form that could be of use both as an introduction to the subject with its main lines of ournest development and as a reference review text for more expert readers as well.

Single orders for this issue

PHYSICS REPORTS (Review Section of Physica Letters) 81, No. 1 (1982) 1–129. Copies of this issue may be obtained at the price given below. All orders should be sent directly to the Publisher. Orders must be accompanied by check. Single issue price Dfl. 66.00, portage included.

0 370-1573/82/0000-0000/\$32.50 @ 1982 North-Holland Publishing Company

Outline of the lectures

- 12. Oct Intro to Monte Carlo techniques and structure of matter
- 13. Oct parton evolution: DGLAP equations
- 26. Oct DGLAP/BFKL/CCFM: evolution for small x
- 27. Oct W/Z production in pp and soft gluon resummation
- 16. Nov Multiparton interactions
- 17. Nov Latest LHC results: small x, multiparton interactions, QCD in high luminosity phase: Higgs as a gluon trigger
- Exercises
- 14 & 15 Oct
- 28 & 29 Oct
- 18 & 19 Nov

Inelastic Scattering: QPM

- Infinite momentum frame: $p^{\mu}=(P,0,0,P)$ with $\ P\gg M$
- Virtual photon scatters off point-like quark which moves parallel (collinear) to proton, with momentum fraction $p_q^\mu=\xi p^\mu$
- Using DIS variables gives for eq
 ightarrow eq

$$|M|^{2} = \frac{2e_{q}^{2}(4\pi\alpha)^{2}\hat{s}^{2}}{Q^{4}}\left(1 + (1-y)^{2}\right)$$

• giving $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2 e_q^2}{\Omega^4} \left(1 + (1-y)^2\right)$

Ellis, Webber, Stirling, p 90 ff

- Using mass shell condition for outgoing quark gives (with $\int_0^1 dx \delta(x-\xi) = 1$) $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \left(1 + (1-y)^2\right) \frac{1}{2}e_q^2\delta(x-\xi)$
- compare this with formula for DIS

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \left[\left(1 + (1-y)^2\right)F_1 + \frac{1-y}{x}(F_2 - 2xF_1) \right]$$

Hannes Jung, QCD and MC, Lecture 2, 13. Oct 2015

Is F_1 and F_2 a delta function ?

Inelastic Scattering QPM

• Simple model with

$$\tilde{F}_2 = x e_q^2 \delta(x - \xi) = 2x \tilde{F}_1$$

- BUT structure function is a distribution.
 F₂ is a function of *x*: scaling, no Q²
 dependence
- $q(\xi)d\xi$ is probability to find q with momentum fraction $\xi....\xi + d\xi$



$$F_2(x) = 2xF_1(x) = \sum_{q,\bar{q}} \int_0^1 d\xi q(\xi) x e_q^2 \delta(x-\xi) = \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 x q(x)$$

• Proton structure function is:

$$F_2^{em} = x \left[\frac{4}{9} \left(u(x) + \bar{u}(x) + c(x) + \bar{c}(x) \right) + \frac{1}{9} \left(d(x) + \bar{d}(x) + s(x) + \bar{s}(x) \right) \right]$$

Hannes Jung, QCD and MC, Lecture 2, 13. Oct 2015

Parton distribution functions (pdfs)

- $f_i(\xi)d\xi$ gives probability that parton *i* carries momentum fraction between ξ and $\xi + d\xi$ with $0 \le \xi \le 1$
- Number of partons i:

$$N_i = \int_0^1 d\xi f_i(\xi)$$

• Momentum fraction carried by partons i:

$$\frac{\langle p_i \rangle}{P} = \int_0^1 d\xi \ \xi f_i(\xi) = \int_0^1 d\log \xi \ \xi^2 f_i(\xi)$$

- Define sum-rules for hadron target:
 - Number of valence partons
 - Momentum carried by partons
 - Flavor contents



From D. Soper hep-ph/9609018

Picture of the Proton



- Flavor sum rules for proton: $\int_{0}^{1} dx u_{V}(x) = 2$ $\int_{0}^{1} dx d_{V}(x) = 1$
- Momentum sum of quarks: $\sum_{q} \int_{0}^{1} dx x \left[q(x) + \bar{q}(x) \right] \sim 0.5$
- Where are the other 50 % of the proton's momentum ?

 $\int dx x q(x) \sim 0.1 \left[0.9 + 0.95 + 0.85 + 0.7 + 0.35 + 0.15 + 0.1 + 0.05 \right] = 0.1 \cdot 4.05 = 0.405$ $\int dx x \bar{q}(x) \sim 0.1 \left[0.42 + 0.2 + 0.06 + 0.03 + 0.01 \right] = 0.1 \cdot 0.72 = 0.072$

Structure functions from HERA



Inelastic Scattering: main results

- F₂ scaling at large x
- ~ 50 % gluons
- F_2 rise at small x
 - How can rising F_2 be understood ?
 - Does rise continue forever ?
 - What limits F_2 ?



Inelastic Scattering: QPM (I)

- Key factor in QPM explanation is that over a short time in which the hard scattering takes place, the quarks behave as if they are free, i.e. no interaction between them.
- In the asymptotic limit $(Q^2 \rightarrow \infty)$ the theory should describe quarks as free particles
- Equivalent demanding that effective charge in theory should vanish as smaller and smaller distances are probed.

Inelastic Scattering: QPM (II)

- Until 1973 in theories the reverse was true: because of screening of charge at larger distances coupling becomes smaller (QED)
- BREAK-THROUGH by 't Hooft (1972), Gross, Wilczek & Politzer (1973) non-Abelian theory describing asymptotic behaviour QCD
- As in QED there is screening at large distances by the color charge of quarks and gluons, but this is more than compensated by anti-screening (splitting) of gluons. Thus for $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ the effective coupling tends to vanish !

Deeper look to x-section: separate leptonic from hadronic part

Separate $e\gamma$ part

calculate

 $\gamma^* q o q'$

• define:





• results

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha}{2p_q \cdot q} e_q^2 \delta(1-z)$$

extract flux of virtual photons

BLACKBOARD

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dydQ^2} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{Q^2} \left(1 + (1-y)^2\right)\hat{\sigma}$$

- flux of virtual photons: different definitions exist....
- QCD is in $\hat{\sigma}$

Higher order corrections to DIS



Hannes Jung, QCD and MC, Lecture 2, 13. Oct 2015

Kinematics

Partonic cross sections



• Flux for virtual photons:

$$F = 4\sqrt{(p_1.p_2)^2 + m_1^2 m_2^2} = 2(\hat{s} + Q^2)$$

• x-section with virtual photons:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{1}{\hat{s}^2} |M|^2 \to \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{1}{\hat{s} + Q^2} \frac{1}{\hat{s}} |M|^2$$
 real photons

Isolate dominant parts in the matrix elements:

region of small k, !!!

Higher order corrections to DIS



- What is the dominant part of the x-section ?
 - Investigate full x-section of QCDC and BGF
 - dominant part comes from small transverse momenta ...
 - rewrite x-section in terms of k_{\perp}
 - use small *t* limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dk_{\perp}^2} &= \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \frac{1}{(1-z)} = \frac{1}{(1-z)} \frac{1}{F} dLips |ME|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z)} \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{1}{\hat{s} + Q^2} \frac{1}{\hat{s}} |ME|^2 \end{aligned}$$

QCDC - contribution

$$\begin{split} M|^2 &= 32\pi^2 \left(e_q^2 \alpha \alpha_s \right) \frac{4}{3} \left[\frac{-\hat{t}}{\hat{s}} - \frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{t}} + \frac{2\hat{u}Q^2}{\hat{s}\hat{t}} \right] & \text{Blackboard} \\ &= 32\pi^2 \left(e_q^2 \alpha \alpha_s \right) \frac{4}{3} \frac{-1}{t} \left[\frac{Q^2(1+z^2)}{z(1-z)} + \cdots \right] \end{split}$$

integration over k_{\perp} generates \log BUT what is the lower limit

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{d\sigma}{dk_{\perp}^{2}} = \hat{\sigma}_{0}e_{q}^{2}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{k_{\perp}^{2}}\left[P_{qq}(z) + \cdots\right] \\
\frac{d\sigma}{dk_{\perp}^{2}} = \hat{\sigma}_{0}e_{q}^{2}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{k_{\perp}^{2}}\left[P_{qq}(z) + \cdots\right] \\
P_{qq}(z) = \frac{4}{3}\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z} \quad \hat{\sigma}_{0} = \frac{4\pi^{2}\alpha}{\hat{s}} \\
\sigma^{QCDC} = \hat{\sigma}_{0}e_{q}^{2}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\left[P_{qq}(z)\log\left(\frac{Q^{2}(1-z)}{\chi^{2}z}\right) + \cdots\right]
\end{array}$$

Correction to cross section



• Connect with F_2 :

$$\sigma^{\gamma*p} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha}{Q^2} \left(F_2(x, Q^2) - F_L(x, Q^2) \right) \sim \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha}{Q^2} F_2(x, Q^2) = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha}{2qP} \frac{F_2(x, Q^2)}{x}$$

$$\sigma^{\gamma*p} = \sigma_0 \frac{F_2(x, Q^2)}{x}$$
$$\sigma^{QPM} = \sigma_0 e_q^2 \delta \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} \right)$$
$$\sigma^{QCDC} = \hat{\sigma}_0 e_q^2 \otimes P_q(z) \otimes \log \dots$$

ξ	s is parton momentum fraction			
	$\sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha}{2qP}$			

QCDC contribution to F₂



again divergency for $k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ or $\chi \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{F_2}{x} = \sum e_q^2 \int \frac{d\xi}{\xi} f_q(\xi) \left[\delta \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} \right) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P_{qq} \left(\frac{x}{\xi} \right) \left[\log \left(\frac{Q^2}{\chi^2} \right) + \log \left(\frac{1 - z}{z} \right) + \ldots \right] + C_q(z, \ldots) \right]$$

Boson gluon fusion



• integration over k_{t} generates log, BUT what is the lower limit

$$\sigma^{BGF} = \hat{\sigma}_0 e_q^2 \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left[P_{qg}(z) \log\left(\frac{Q^2(1-z)}{\chi^2 z}\right) + \cdots \right]$$

BGF contribution to F₂



again divergency for $k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ or $\chi \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{F_2}{x} = \sum e_q^2 \int \frac{dx_2}{x_2} g(x_2)$$
$$\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(P_{qg} \left(\frac{x}{x_2} \right) \left[\log \left(\frac{Q^2}{\chi^2} \right) + \log \left(\frac{1-z}{z} \right) + \ldots \right] + C_g(z, \ldots) \right)$$

Adding up everything











is parton momentum fraction
$$\sigma_0 = rac{4\pi^2 lpha}{2qP}$$

• Connect with
$$F_2$$
: $\sigma^{QPM} = \sigma_0 e_q^2 \delta \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} \right)$
 $\sigma^{QCDC} = \hat{\sigma}_0 e_q^2 \otimes P_q(z) \otimes \log ..$
 $\sigma^{BGF} = \hat{\sigma}_0 e_q^2 \otimes P_g(z) \otimes \log ..$

Collinear factorization (part 1)

• bare distributions $q_0(x)$ are not measurable (like the bare charges)

$$F_2 = x \sum e_q^2 \left[\frac{q_0(x)}{\xi} + \int \frac{d\xi}{\xi} q_0(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \log\left(\frac{Q^2}{\chi^2}\right) + C_q(z,..) \right]$$

- collinear singularities are absorbed into this bare distributions at a factorization scale $\mu^2 \gg \chi^2\,$, defining renormalized distributions

$$q_i(x,\mu^2) = \frac{q_i^0(x)}{2\pi} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \left[\frac{q_i^0(\xi)}{\xi} P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \log\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\chi^2}\right) + C_q\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \right] + \dots$$

• now F₂ becomes:

$$F_2 = x \sum e_q^2 \int \frac{d\xi}{\xi} q(\xi, \mu^2) \left[\delta \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} \right) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P_{qq} \left(\frac{x}{\xi} \right) \log \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \right) + C \right]$$

- separating or factorizing the long distance contributions to structure functions is a fundamental property of the theory
- factorization provides a description for dealing with the logarithmic singularities, there is arbitrariness in how the finite (non-logarithmic) parts are treated.

Hannes Jung, QCD and MC, Lecture 2, 13. Oct 2015

Splitting functions in lowest order





similarity to EPA...



$$\begin{array}{c} g & f \\ m \\ g \\ g \\ s \\ 1-z \end{array} \quad P_{gg} = 6 \left(\frac{1-z}{z} + \frac{z}{1-z} + z(1-z) \right) \\ \end{array}$$

From factorization to DGLAP

BLACKBOARD

Collinear factorization: DGLAP

• introduce new scale $\mu^2 \gg \chi^2$ and include soft, non-perturbative physics into renormalized parton density:

$$q_i(x,\mu^2) = q_i^0(x) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \left[q_i^0(\xi) P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) + g^0(\xi) P_{qg}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \right] \log\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\chi^2}\right)$$

• Dokshitzer Gribov Lipatov Altarelli Parisi equation:

V.V. Gribov and L.N. Lipatov Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 438 and 675 (1972) 15, L.N. Lipatov Sov. J. Nucl. Phys 94 (1975) 20, G. Altarelli and G. Parisi Nucl.Phys.B 298 (1977) 126, Y.L. Dokshitser Sov. Phys. JETP 641 (1977) 46

$$\frac{dq_i(x,\mu^2)}{d\log\mu^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \left[q_i(\xi,\mu^2) P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) + g(\xi,\mu^2) P_{qg}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \right]$$

• BUT there are also gluons....

$$\frac{dg(x,\mu^2)}{d\log\mu^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \left[\sum_i q_i(\xi,\mu^2) P_{gq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) + g(\xi,\mu^2) P_{gg}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \right]$$

• DGLAP is the analogue to the beta function for running of the coupling

Collinear factorization

see handbook of pQCD, chapter IV, B

$$F_2^{(Vh)}(x,Q^2) = \sum_{i=f,\bar{f},G} \int_0^1 d\xi C_2^{(Vi)}\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},\frac{\mu_f^2}{\mu^2},\alpha_s(\mu^2)\right) \otimes f_{i/h}(\xi,\mu_f^2,\mu^2)$$

- Factorization Theorem in DIS (Collins, Soper, Sterman, (1989) in Pert. QCD, ed. A.H. Mueller, Wold Scientific, Singapore, p1.)
 - hard-scattering function $C_2^{(Vi)}$ is infrared finite and calculable in pQCD, depending only on vector boson V, parton *i*, and renormalization and factorization scales. It is independent of the identity of hadron *h*.
 - pdf $f_{i/h}(\xi, \mu_f^2, \mu^2)$ contains all the infrared sensitivity of cross section, and is specific to hadron h, and depends on factorization scale.
- Generalization: applies to any DIS cross section defined by a sum over hadronic final states but be careful what it really means....
- explicit factorization theorems exist for:
 - diffractive DIS (... see above....)
 - Drell Yan (in hadron hadron collisions)
 - single particle inclusive cross sections (fragmentation functions)

Factorization proofs and all that ...

• About factorization proofs (Wu-Ki Tung, pQCD and the parton structure of the nucleon, 2001, In *Shifman, M. (ed.): At the frontier of particle physics, vol. 2* 887-971)

tions $F_a^{\Lambda}(x, \frac{\forall}{m}, \alpha_s(\mu))$ (a = all parton flavors). Although the underlying physical ideas are relatively simple, as emphasized in the last two sections, the mathematical proofs are technically very demanding.^{7,15,19} For this reason, actual proofs of factorization only exist for a few hard processes; and certain proofs (e.g. that for the Drell-Yan process) stayed controversial for some time before a consensus were reached.¹⁵ Because of the general character of the physical ideas and the mathematical methods involved, however, it is generally *assumed* that the attractive *quark-parton model does apply to all high energy interactions* with at least one large energy scale.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy} = \sum_{a,b} \int_{x_A}^1 d\xi_A \int_{x_B}^1 d\xi_B f_A^a(\xi_A,\mu) f_B^b(\xi_B,\mu) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{ab}(\mu)}{dy} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{m}{P}\right)^p\right)$$

• The problem with Drell-Yan: initial state interactions...

• factorization here does not hold graphby-graph but only for all



Collinear factorization

Ellis, Webber, Stirling, 123 So far considered only "leading twist" Roberts 108 twist = dimension (spin) of operators in Operator Product Expansion (OPE) • Factorization theorem (Collins hep-ph/9709499): $F_2(x,Q^2) = \sum_i C_{2\,i} \otimes f_i + \text{non-leading power of } Q$ • in general: 88888

$$F_2(x,Q^2) = \sum_n \frac{B_n(x,Q^2)}{Q^{2n}}$$

n>0 higher twists non-leading powers ...

• NOT covered by factorization theorem.... but contributions can be large ?!?

Warning on Factorization:

- The limits are factorization (i.e., the universality) of h h → h + X is not yet fully explored!
- You must surely sum over (i.e., not ask questions about) the soft stuff (as we do with jets)
- Some limits are becoming "clear" in h h → h h (b-to-b) + X See, e.g., J. Collins, <u>hep-ph/0708.4410</u>
- The INTRO discussion in G. Sterman, hep-ph/0807.5118
- The application of SCET (Soft Collinear Effective Theory) C. W. Bauer, et al., <u>hep-ph/0808.2191</u>
- See also, M. Seymour, et al., <u>hep-ph/0808.1269</u>

But even this is not the full story...

• factorization breaking in $pp \rightarrow j_1 j_2 X$ J. Collins, J.W. Qiu hep-ph 0705.2141



FIG. 8 (color online). The exchange of two extra gluons, as in this graph, will tend to give nonfactorization in unpolarized cross sections.

- factorization breaking also in tt production at large p_t^{top}
 - S. Catani, M. Grazzini, and A. Torre. Transverse-momentum resummation for heavy-quark hadropro- duction. arXiv 1408.4564



Collinear factorization schemes

• DIS scheme: absorbing all finite contributions C_q into quark densities, with no finite $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections:

 $F_2^{DIS}(x,Q^2) = x \sum e_q^2 q(x,Q^2)$

• *MS* scheme: only minimal contributions from the finite parts are absorbed in the quark distributions:

$$F_2^{\overline{MS}}(x,Q^2) = x \sum e_q^2 \int \frac{dx_2}{x_2} q^{\overline{MS}}(x,Q^2) \left[\delta \left(1 - \frac{x}{x_2} \right) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C^{\overline{MS}} \left(\frac{x}{x_2} \right) + \dots \right]$$

- once the scheme is chosen, it MUST be used in all other cross section calculations
- higher order corrections will of course depend on the chosen scheme...
- **BUT...** there are still other contributions to be included... gluon induced processes

PDFs in different fact. schemes



• can make significant effects for x-sections

But back to the evolution equation

Splitting functions at higher orders

S. Moch, HERA-LHC workshop, June 2004

The calculation (in a nut shell)

 $P(z, \alpha_s) = P^{(0)}(z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P^{(1)}(z) + \dots$

Calculate anomalous dimensions (Mellin moments of splitting functions)

 \rightarrow divergence of Feynman diagrams in dimensional regularization $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$



Splitting functions (cont'd)

S. Moch, HERA-LHC workshop, June 2004

LO and NLO singlet splitting functions $P(z, \alpha_s) = P^{(0)}(z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}P^{(1)}(z) + \dots$

$$P_{gg}^{(0)}(x) = 0$$

$$P_{gg}^{(0)}(x) = 2n_f p_{qg}(x)$$

$$P_{gq}^{(0)}(x) = 2C_F p_{gq}(x)$$

$$P_{gg}^{(0)}(x) = C_A \left(4p_{gg}(x) + \frac{11}{3}\delta(1-x)\right) - \frac{2}{3}n_f \delta(1-x)$$

$$\begin{split} P_{\rm ps}^{(1)}(x) &= 4 C_F n_f \Big(\frac{20}{9} \frac{1}{x} - 2 + 6x - 4 H_0 + x^2 \Big[\frac{8}{3} H_0 - \frac{56}{9} \Big] + (1+x) \Big[5 H_0 - 2 H_{0,0} \Big] \Big) \\ P_{\rm lgs}^{(1)}(x) &= 4 C_A n_f \Big(\frac{20}{9} \frac{1}{x} - 2 + 25x - 2 p_{\rm qg}(-x) H_{-1,0} - 2 p_{\rm lgs}(x) H_{1,1} + x^2 \Big[\frac{44}{3} H_0 - \frac{218}{9} \Big] \\ + 4(1-x) \Big[H_{0,0} - 2 H_0 + x H_1 \Big] - 4 \zeta_2 x - 6 H_{0,0} + 9 H_0 \Big) + 4 C_F n_f \Big(2 p_{\rm qg}(x) \Big[H_{1,0} + H_{1,1} + H_2 \\ - \zeta_2 \Big] + 4x^2 \Big[H_0 + H_{0,0} + \frac{5}{2} \Big] + 2(1-x) \Big[H_0 + H_{0,0} - 2x H_1 + \frac{29}{4} \Big] - \frac{15}{2} - H_{0,0} - \frac{1}{2} H_0 \Big) \\ P_{\rm gq}^{(1)}(x) &= 4 C_A C_F \Big(\frac{1}{x} + 2 p_{\rm gq}(x) \Big[H_{1,0} + H_{1,1} + H_2 - \frac{11}{6} H_1 \Big] - x^2 \Big[\frac{8}{3} H_0 - \frac{44}{9} \Big] + 4 \zeta_2 - 2 \\ - 7 H_0 + 2 H_{0,0} - 2 H_1 x + (1+x) \Big[2 H_{0,0} - 5 H_0 + \frac{37}{9} \Big] - 2 p_{\rm gq}(-x) H_{-1,0} \Big) - 4 C_F n_f \Big(\frac{2}{3} x \\ - p_{\rm gq}(x) \Big[\frac{2}{3} H_1 - \frac{10}{9} \Big] \Big) + 4 C_F^2 \Big(p_{\rm gq}(x) \Big[3 H_1 - 2 H_{1,1} \Big] + (1+x) \Big[H_{0,0} - \frac{7}{2} + \frac{7}{2} H_0 \Big] - 3 H_{0,0} \\ + 1 - \frac{3}{2} H_0 + 2 H_1 x \Big) \\ P_{\rm gg}^{(1)}(x) &= 4 C_A n_f \Big(1 - x - \frac{10}{9} p_{\rm gg}(x) - \frac{13}{9} \Big(\frac{1}{x} - x^2 \Big) - \frac{2}{3} (1+x) H_0 - \frac{2}{3} \delta(1-x) \Big) + 4 C_A^2 \Big(27 H_1 + x) \Big[\frac{11}{3} H_0 + 8 H_{0,0} - \frac{27}{2} \Big] + 2 p_{\rm gg}(-x) \Big[H_{0,0} - 2 H_{-1,0} - \zeta_2 \Big] - \frac{67}{9} \Big(\frac{1}{x} - x^2 \Big) - 12 H_0 \\ - \frac{44}{3} x^2 H_0 + 2 p_{\rm gg}(x) \Big[\frac{67}{18} - \zeta_2 + H_{0,0} + 2 H_{1,0} + 2 H_2 \Big] + \delta(1-x) \Big[\frac{8}{3} + 3 \zeta_3 \Big] \Big) + 4 C_F n_f \Big(2 H_0 \\ + \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{x} + \frac{10}{3} x^2 - 12 + (1+x) \Big[4 - 5 H_0 - 2 H_{0,0} \Big] - \frac{1}{2} \delta(1-x) \Big) . \end{split}$$

Splitting functions (cont'd) $P(z, \alpha_s) = P^{(0)}(z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}P^{(1)}(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 P^{(2)}(z) + \dots$

S. Moch, HERA-LHC workshop, June 2004

NNLO singlet splitting functions

$$\begin{split} & P_{2}^{(2)}(\phi) = (4\pi \xi_{0}^{(2)}(\phi_{0}^{(2)}(\frac{1}{2}+1)x^{2}) (\frac{1}{2}+1)x_{0} - \frac{1}{2}^{(2)}(\phi_{0}^{(2)}-1)x_{1-1}(\phi_{0}^{(2$$

$$\begin{split} & A_{2}^{(2)}(q) = 16G_{2}^{(2)}(q) \frac{(12)}{2}(m_{2}^{(2)} + \frac{11}{2}(m_{2}^{(2)} + \frac{1$$

$$\begin{split} & - \Theta(z_1 + \frac{\Theta}{2} u_1) + \mu_{22}(-z_1) \frac{1}{2} (1, z_1) + \frac{1}{2$$

$$\begin{split} &-24.52+1000+11.52+\frac{11}{2}(1+1)+\frac{11}{2$$

$$\begin{split} &-\mathrm{Hoppp}+\frac{3}{4}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}p-\frac{4}{9}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}p\right]-\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\left(\mu,\mu\right)+\mathrm{Hoppp}+\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\mu-\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\mu-\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\mu\\ &+\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\mu-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\mu\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\mu-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}\mu\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}\mu-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{2}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{2}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}-\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{1,0}+\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{H}_{2,0}+\mathrm{$$

$$\begin{split} & P_{21}^{(2)}(\phi = 146^{-}_{1} f_{21}^{-} f_{21}^{-} f_{21}^{-} f_{22}^{-} f_{21}^{-} f_{21}^{-} f_{22}^{-} f_{22}^{-} f_{21}^{-} f_{21}^{-$$

$$\begin{split} + \frac{1}{2^{3}} \epsilon_{0}(t) \frac{|1_{1_{2}} - 1_{1_{2}} - 1_{1_{2}} - 1_{1_{2}} + \frac{1}{2^{3}} \epsilon_{0} + \frac{1}{2^{3}} \epsilon_{0}(t) + \frac{1}{2^{3}} \epsilon_{0$$

 $\begin{array}{l} H_{2}^{(0)}(g) = 116\zeta_{2}G_{2}(g) + \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{2}(h+1)h_{2} - \frac{102}{12}h_{1} + \frac{1}{2}h_{1}(h_{2} - \frac{12}{2}h_{2})h_{2} + \frac{12}{12}h_{2} + \frac{12}{12}$

$$\begin{split} & + 2 \log_{10,00} - \frac{300}{124} + \frac{11}{12} \log_{10} - \frac{7}{12} \log_{10} - \frac{37}{12} \log_{10} - \frac{360}{12} \log_{10} + \frac{11}{12} \log_{10$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{413}{12} (t_{1} - \frac{11}{12} (t_{1} t_{2} - \frac{21}{12} (t_{1} t_{2} + \frac{21}{12} (t_{1} t_{2} + 1) (t_{2} - t_{2} + 2) (t_{2} - \frac{21}{12} (t_{2} - \frac{1}{12} t_{1} t_{2} t_{2} - \frac{1}{12} t_{1} t_{2} t_{2} \\ \displaystyle \frac{332}{12} (t_{2} - \frac{332}{12} (t_{2} + \frac{5}{12} (t_{1} t_{2} + \frac{1}{12} (t_{2} - t_{2} + 2) (t_{2} - t_{2} + 1) (t_{2} - t_{2} + 1) (t_{2} - t_{2} - t_{2} + 1) (t_{2} - t_{2} - t_{2$

NLO contributions to $F_2(x,Q^2)$



Evolution kernels – splitting fcts

some of the splitting functions are also divergent...

 $\frac{1}{1-z}$

• use *plus-distribution* to avoid dangerous region:

$$\int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z)}{(1-z)_+} = \int_0^1 dz \frac{f(z) - f(1)}{1-z}$$

- divergence cancelled by virtual corrections ...
- use splitting functions with plus-distribution



Conservation rules with DGLAP

$$\int_{0}^{1} dxx \left[\sum_{i=-6}^{6} q(x,\mu^{2}) + g(x,\mu^{2}) \right] = 1$$

• use DGLAP

$$\frac{dq_i(x,\mu^2)}{d\log\mu^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \left[q_i(\xi,\mu^2) P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) + g(\xi,\mu^2) P_{qg}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \right]$$
$$\frac{dg(x,\mu^2)}{d\log\mu^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \left[\sum_i q_i(\xi,\mu^2) P_{gq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) + g(\xi,\mu^2) P_{gg}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \right]$$

→to obtain:

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \ x \left[P_{qq}(x) + P_{gq}(x) \right] = 0$$
$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \ x \left[P_{gg}(x) + 2n_{f} P_{qg}(x) \right] = 0$$

How to apply these results

Applying DGLAP to DIS data ...



Extraction of PDFs from DGLAP fits

- Sum rules are essential to constrain starting distributions
- Solve DGLAP equations
- adjust input parameters (starting distributions) such that F2 is best described
- extract PDFs as fct of x
- then DGLAP gives PDFs at any Q²



Solving DGLAP equations ...

- Different methods to solve integro-differential equations
 - brute-force (BF) method (M. Miyama, S. Kumano CPC 94 (1996) 185)

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \frac{f(x)_{m+1} - f(x)_m}{\Delta x_m} \qquad \int f(x)dx = \sum f(x)_m \Delta x_m$$

- Laguerre method (S. Kumano J.T. Londergan CPC 69 (1992) 373, and L. Schoeffel Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A423:439-445,1999)
- Mellin transforms (M. Glueck, E. Reya, A. Vogt Z. Phys. C48 (1990) 471)
- QCDNUM: calculation in a grid in x,Q2 space (M. Botje Eur.Phys.J. C14 (2000) 285-297)
- CTEQ evolution program in x,Q2 space: http://www.phys.psu.edu/~cteq/
- QCDFIT program in x,Q2 space (C. Pascaud, F. Zomer, LAL preprint LAL/94-02, H1-09/94-404,H1-09/94-376)
- MC method using Markov chains (S. Jadach, M. Skrzypek hep-ph/0504205)
- Monte Carlo method from iterative procedure
- brute-force method and MC method are best suited for detailed studies of branching processes !!!

Evolution code in LHAPDF

000	LHAPDF :: HepForge						
	http://projec	☆▼) · ([factorization breaking	Q			
Most Visited - H1 Fast Na	vigator DESY CMS Homepage	phone book HERA LHC workshop.	HERA Monte Carlo P	HERA - LHC worksh ee	ds07 Physics form at de.a	»	
LHAPDF	0!				hosted by CEDA	R HepForge	

LHAPDF the Les Houches Accord PDF Interface

Home

- LHAPDF Home
- Publications
- Installation
- PDF sets
- Downloads
- User manual
- Theory review
- C++ wrapper (v5.4)
- C++ wrapper (old v5.3))
- Python wrapper (v5.4)
- .LHpdf files
- .LHgrid files
- Mailing list
- ChangeLog
- Subversion repo
- Contact

LHAPDF provides a unified and easy to use interface to modern PDF sets. It is designed to work not only with individual PDF sets but also with the more recent multiple "error" sets. It can be viewed as the successor to PDFLIB, incorporating many of the older sets found in the latter, including pion and photon PDFs. In LHAPDF the computer code and input parameters/grids are separated thus allowing more easy updating and no limit to the expansion possibilities. The code and data sets can be downloaded together or inidivually as desired. From version 4.1 onwards a configuration script facilitates the installation of LHAPDF.

Contents:

Installing LHAPDF. List of all available PDF sets. On-line user manual. PDF set numbers A wrapper for C++. A wrapper for C++. (old version) A little bit of theory. Description of the .LHpdf files Description of the .LHgrid files PDFsets.index How to join the announcement mailing list. How to email the developers of LHAPDF View the Subversion repository. Tracker/Wiki ChangeLog.

Patches: patches to 5.7.0

Downloads:

Latest released version (16/02/2009): 5.7.0 (full): Ihapdf-5.7.0.tar.gz 5.7.0 (nopdf): lhapdf-5.7.0-nopdf.tar.gz Extra PDF sets Old versions: 5.6.0 (full): Ihapdf-5.6.0.tar.gz 5.5.1 (full): Ihapdf-5.5.1.tar.gz 5.5.0 (full): Ihapdf-5.5.0.tar.gz 5.4.1 (full): Ihapdf-5.4.1.tar.gz 5.4.0 (full): Ihapdf-5.4.0.tar.gz 5.3.1 (full): lhapdf-5.3.1.tar.gz(patches) 5.3.0 (full): Ihapdf-5.3.0.tar.gz(patches) 5.2.3 (full): Ihapdf-5.2.3.tar.gz 5.2.2 (full): Ihapdf-5.2.2.tar.gz 5.2.1 (full): Ihapdf-5.2.1.tar.gz 5.2 (full): lhapdf-5.2.tar.gz 5.1 (full): lhapdf-5.1.tar.gz

Publications/LHAPDF reference Name conflicts with CERNLIB

Can use LHAPDF to evolve starting distribution to any Q² with

• CTEQ, QCDNUM, and other evolution packages...