New constraints on extended Higgs sectors from the trilinear Higgs coupling

Henning Bahl

based on 2202.03453

In collaboration with

J. Braathen, G. Weiglein



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PIKIMO meeting, 5/30/2022

The Higgs boson – what we know so far.

- A scalar behaving similar as the SM Higgs boson was discovered at the LHC.
- What we know about this scalar:
 - Its mass, vev, spin.
 - It's not a \mathcal{CP} -odd state.
 - Its couplings to gauge bosons (*WW*, *ZZ*, *gg*, $\gamma\gamma$): $\mathcal{O}(10)$ %
 - Its coupling to third generation fermions: O(20) %
 - Its coupling to muons: $\mathcal{O}(50)$ %
- What we don't know about this scalar:
 - Its exact \mathcal{CP} nature.
 - Its couplings to first- and second-generation fermions.
 - Its width (are there any decays to non-SM particles?).
 - The shape of its potential.

 \rightarrow Modification of Higgs potential can have implications for e.g. cosmology.

The Higgs potential

In the SM, the Higgs potential is completely determined by the Higgs mass and its vev:

$$V_h^{\rm SM} = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + \frac{m_h^2}{2\nu}h^3 + \frac{m_h^2}{8\nu^2}h^4 + \cdots$$

Relation between the different terms can easily be modified by BSM physics \rightarrow add modifier κ_{λ} (and κ_{λ_4}):



How can we constraint κ_{λ} experimentally?

unknown

Double-Higgs production

Most direct probe of trilinear Higgs coupling: double-Higgs production via gluon fusion.



In the SM: large destructive interference between box and triangle contribution.

 \Rightarrow Deviations from SM trilinear Higgs coupling can significantly enhance the *hh* cross section.

Interpret experimental upper limits on hh cross section as limits on κ_{λ} .

Experimental bound on κ_{λ}

Current strongest limit: $-1.0 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 6.6$ at 95% CL [ATLAS-CONF-2021-052].

Assumptions:

- All other Higgs couplings are SM-like.
- Non-resonant Higgs-boson pair production only deviates from the SM via a modified trilinear Higgs coupling.



Can we use this limit to constrain BSM models?

κ_{λ} in the 2-Higgs-doublet-model (2HDM)

• Focus first on **2HDM type I** in the alignment limit (similar results expected for other types/models).

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2\text{HDM}}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) &= m_{11}^2 \, \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \, \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - m_{12}^2 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_5 \left((\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Higgs doublets \rightarrow 5 physical Higgs bosons: CP-even h, H; CP-odd A; charged H^{\pm} .
- Most relevant/largest couplings:

$$g_{hh\Phi\Phi} = -\frac{2(M^2 - m_{\Phi}^2)}{v^2}$$
 with $\Phi \in \{H, A, H^{\pm}\}$ and $M^2 = \frac{m_{12}^2}{s_\beta c_\beta}$

- Strategy:
 - 1. Scan parameter space applying various theoretical and experimental constraints.
 - 2. Identify regions with large deviations of κ_{λ} , which is calculated at the 2L level.
 - 3. Define a benchmark scenario and apply constraints on κ_{λ} .

2HDM parameter scan

- We checked for:
 - Vacuum stability and boundedness-from-below.
 - NLO perturbative unitarity.
 - Electroweak precision observables (calculated at the 2L level using THDM_EWPOS [Hessenberger,Hollik,1607.04610]).
 - SM-like Higgs measurements via HiggsSignals.
 - Direct searches for BSM scalars via HiggsBounds.
 - b-physics constraints.
- Most constraints checked using ScannerS.
- For each point passing the constraints, we calculate κ_{λ} at the 1L and 2L level ($\kappa_{\lambda}^{(1)}$ and $\kappa_{\lambda}^{(2)}$) using results from [Braathen,Kanemura,1911.11507].

2HDM parameter scan - results



Largest corrections for $m_A \simeq m_{H^{\pm}}$, $m_H < m_{H^{\pm}}$ and $m_H \simeq m_{H^{\pm}}$, $m_A < m_{H^{\pm}}$. 2L corrections have sizeable impact.

Constraints on κ_{λ} - benchmark scenario



Experimental bound on κ_{λ} excludes so far unconstrained parameter space!

Conclusions

- Measurement of the trilinear Higgs coupling crucial to determine shape of Higgs potential.
- Large deviations from the SM possible in many BSM models.
- We showed that already current bounds exclude significant parts of so far unconstrained 2HDM parameter space.
- Including 2L corrections important for precise prediction.
- We expect similar results in other BSM Higgs models.
- More precise bounds expected in the future \Rightarrow more precise theory predictions will be needed.
- Potentially interesting implications for cosmology.

Thanks for your attention!

Appendix

Calculating BSM corrections to κ_{λ}

• Need to calculate Higgs three-point function:



• Alternatively, employ zero momentum approximation and then use effective potential:

$$\lambda_{hhh} \equiv \frac{\partial^3 V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial h^3} \bigg|_{\text{min}} \equiv \lambda_{hhh}^{(0)} + \kappa \delta^{(1)} \lambda_{hhh} + \kappa^2 \delta^{(2)} \lambda_{hhh}$$



 Using V_{eff}, 1L and 2L corrections have been calculated in various BSM Higgs models (see e.g. [Braathen,Kanemura,1911.11507]).

Calculating BSM corrections to κ_{λ}

[Braathen,Kanemura,1911.11507]



- Large non-decoupling corrections found in several BSM models.
- Analysis assumed that all BSM masses are equal M_{Φ} .
- No phenomenological analysis has been performed.

Idea of this work:

Can we constrain these models based on the large corrections to κ_{λ} ?

Applying the constraints on κ_{λ}

Assumptions of experimental bound:

- All other Higgs couplings are SM-like.
 - 2HDM in the alignment limit with heavy BSM masses.
- Higgs-boson pair production only deviates from the SM via a modified trilinear Higgs coupling.
 - No resonant contribution because *Hhh* coupling is zero in alignment limit.
 - Other BSM contributions to *hh* production?



• We include the all corrections leading in the large coupling $g_{hh\Phi\Phi}$ at the NLO and NNLO level. \uparrow

 M_W in the 2HDM

$$\begin{split} \Delta\rho_{\rm non-SM}^{(1)} &= \frac{\alpha}{16\pi^2 s_W^2 M_W^2} \bigg\{ \frac{m_A^2 m_H^2}{m_A^2 - m_H^2} \ln \frac{m_A^2}{m_H^2} \\ &- \frac{m_A^2 m_{H^\pm}^2}{m_A^2 - m_{H^\pm}^2} \ln \frac{m_A^2}{m_{H^\pm}^2} \\ &- \frac{m_H^2 m_{H^\pm}^2}{m_H^2 - m_{H^\pm}^2} \ln \frac{m_H^2}{m_{H^\pm}^2} + m_{H^\pm}^2 \bigg\} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\Delta M_W \simeq \frac{1}{2} M_W \frac{c_W^2}{c_W^2 - s_W^2} \Delta \rho \,.$$

$$\Delta M_W \simeq 0$$
 if $m_A = m_{H^\pm}$ or $m_H = m_{H^\pm}$



M_W in the 2HDM – correlation with κ_{λ} ?

